| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|---|
| | 10/753,581 | LYON ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Natalia Levkovich | 1743 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) Natalia Levkovich. | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Karl Hauber</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>5 December 2006</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Examiner contacted attorney regarding whether a response was stated the case has been abandoned. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa | ne examiner will provide a ware record of the substance of | ritten summary of the substance the interview |
| | | |
| | • | |
| | · | |
| • | | |
| 300 30 1 33 25 30 1 33 25 30 | | |
| · | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican | t/Applicant's Representative | e Signature – if appropriate) |